

REMARKS

Claims 1-63 were originally filed and claims 1-63 are cancelled. New claims 64-72 have been added. Claim 64 is in independent form. Accordingly, claims 64-72 remain in the subject application. It is believed that no new matter has been introduced in any of these new claims.


Applicant has broadened the claims to recite a supporting device, instead of a narrower embodiment described as a sheet bending brake assembly. It is to be appreciated that the sheet bending brake assembly supports a workpiece and therefore is a supporting device. The novel limitation of the wheel mechanism being pivotable between a rolling position and a working position may be used with any device that supports another component. The subject invention is particularly useful when utilized with supporting devices that are heavy and difficult to carry, as discussed at length in the specification as originally filed. Those skilled in the art would appreciate that examples of other supporting devices may include table saws, work benches, ladders, and other construction equipment. Accordingly, the supporting device is not to be limited to the specific embodiment of the sheet bending brake assembly.

The entrance of this preliminary amendment for the purposes of filing the attached continuation application is respectfully submitted.

Respectfully submitted

HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C.

November 18, 2003
Date



Jeffrey A. Sadowski, Reg. No. 29,005
The Pinehurst Office Center, Suite #101
39400 Woodward Avenue
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304
(248) 645-1483